A survey was conducted in July 2006 to determine what people think has been happening to farmland values in Missouri. Missourians are not required to report the sales price of land to any governmental or public agency and the volume of transactions involving land for farming is small. We hope the opinions expressed by our survey respondents will be helpful to others needing to estimate current farmland values and trends.

Of the 225 persons responding to our July 2006 survey, 70% were lenders, 13% were rural appraisers, 6% were MU extension specialists, 6% were realtors, and 5% were in other related occupations. They provided their opinions to questions concerning current farmland values and trends but were asked to exclude from their answers tracts smaller than 40 acres or land being converted to development or commercial uses. With the continuing increase in urban sprawl, this no doubt excluded many tracts near cities and towns.

**Average Value of Land**

Respondents were asked to give their estimates of land values as of July 2006 for three classes of cropland and pasture (good, average, poor), timberland (with valuable trees), and hunting/recreation land (land with little productive ag. value but with desirable aesthetic qualities). Classification of land was left to the judgment of each respondent. Their responses are summarized on Maps 1, 2 and 3 on the following pages.

**Outlook**

Respondents were asked how much they thought farmland values in their area had changed during the past 12 months. On average, they estimated that all types of farmland had increased 8.1%, cropland had increased 8.0%, pasture had increased 7.9%, and other types of farmland had increased 8.7% (Map 5).

These increases are larger than those predicted by last year’s respondents. Downward pressures did not impact values as much as expected. Some respondents mentioned an increase in purchases by buyers who plan to resell the land in a few years. Answers to survey questions relating to use of land also indicate an increase in the percentage of buyers who do not plan to use the land for agricultural production.

For the next 12 months, this year’s respondents gave a mixed forecast for the regions, but on average they expect a much smaller increase than in the last two surveys. In two regions they expect no increase or slightly lower values. Between July 2006 and July 2007 respondents expect the average value of all Missouri farmland will increase 4.1%, with cropland increasing 3.9%, pasture 3.7%, and other types of farmland 4.3% (Map 6).

**Who Is Buying Farmland?**

Respondents were asked what they thought buyers of the land in their area planned to do with their purchases — operate as a farm themselves, rent it out, or not use for agricultural production (Map 4).

These questions have been asked on the survey for the last 9 years and responses have varied very little between years. However, this year’s survey shows the percentage of purchasers who do not intend to use the land for agricultural purposes increased 6 percentage points over last year, with a 3 point reduction in both the percent who plan to farm the land themselves and those who plan to rent it out.
Map 1. Estimated cropland values per acre for July 2006

Missouri Average
Good cropland $2316
Average cropland $1897
Poor cropland $1543

Map legend, region averages:
- Good cropland
- Average cropland
- Poor cropland

No cropland values reported
Map 2. Estimated pastureland values per acre for July 2006

Missouri Average
Good pastureland $1680
Average pastureland $1421
Poor pastureland $1179

Map legend, region averages:
- Good pastureland
- Average pastureland
- Poor pastureland
Map 3. Estimated timber and hunting/recreation land values per acre for July 2006

Values estimated in this survey exclude tracts smaller than 40 acres or farmland being priced on its development potential.

Map 4. Use to be made of farmland purchased in 2006

Missouri Average
- Operate farm themselves: 47%
- Rent it out: 24%
- Not use for ag production: 29%

Map legend, region averages:
- Operate farm themselves: 34%
- Rent it out: 35%
- Not use for ag production: 31%

Agricultural Land Values Per Acre Jan. 1, 2006
(USDA/NASS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cropland</th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th>All land &amp; bldgs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>1,580</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td>2,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>3,060</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>2,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3,840</td>
<td>1,880</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornbelt (IN, IL, IA, MO, OH)</td>
<td>3,230</td>
<td>1,610</td>
<td>3,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. (average 48 states)</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Map 5. Percent change estimated for Missouri farmland values between July 2005 and July 2006

Map 6. Percent change forecast for Missouri farmland values between July 2006 and July 2007

This 2005-06 estimate is much higher than was forecast in last year’s survey.

2006-07 is the lowest average increase forecast for the state since 2003.

All Farmland & Buildings, 1950-2006
Missouri Values, USDA/NASS
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Map legend, region averages:

Non-crop/non-pasture
Pastureland
Cropland

Missouri Average Increase
Cropland 8.1%
Pastureland 7.9%
Non-crop/non-pasture 8.7%